

Appl. No.: 10/608,690  
Amdt. dated 7/18/06  
Reply to Official Action of January 31, 2006

Amendments to the Drawings:

In view of the Official Action's objection to the drawings as not including reference callouts mentioned in the specification, the attached replacement drawing sheet, which includes FIG. 4, illustrates a protocol stack and interaction thereof with a security policy database (SPD) and security association database (SAD) according to one embodiment of the present invention. In FIG. 4, callouts 56a and 56b reference the SAD and SPD, respectively.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet (FIG. 3)

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The first Official Action rejects all of the pending claims, namely Claims 1-20, under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,330,562 to Boden et al. In addition, the Official Action objects to FIGS. 1-3, 5A and 5B as failing to include a legend identifying those figures as illustrating prior art, and objects to FIG. 4 as not including callouts for the illustrated SAD 56a and SPD 56b. In response thereto, Applicant has amended FIG. 4 to include the aforementioned callouts. As explained below, Applicant has not amended any of FIGS. 1-3, 5A and 5B in view of the objection thereto, or amended any of the claims in view of the rejection thereof. As explained below, Applicant respectfully submits that FIGS. 1-3, 5A and 5B do not, in fact, illustrate prior art; and respectfully submit that the claimed invention is patentably distinct from Boden. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully traverses the respective objection to the drawings and rejection of the claims. Nonetheless, Applicant has amended various ones of the claims to further clarify the claimed invention. In view of the amendments to FIG. 4 and the claims, and the remarks presented herein, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all of the pending claims of the present application.

### ***A. The Drawings are Proper***

The Official Action objects to FIGS. 1-3, 5A and 5B as failing to include a legend identifying those figures as illustrating prior art, and objects to FIG. 4 as not including callouts for the illustrated SAD 56a and SPD 56b. In response, Applicant has amended FIG. 4, adding callouts referencing the aforementioned SAD and SPD. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the objection to FIG. 4 is overcome. As to the objection to FIGS. 1-3, 5A and 5B as failing to include the legend identifying those figures as illustrating prior art, Applicant respectfully submits that those figures do not in fact illustrate prior art. Rather, FIGS. 1-3, 5A and 5B illustrate systems, apparatuses and methods in accordance with exemplary embodiments of the present invention. *See* Pat. Appl., page 6, line 26 – page 7, line 6. Applicant therefore respectfully submits that FIGS. 1-3, 5A and 5B do not illustrate prior art, and that those figures appropriately do not include a legend identifying those figures as such. Thus, Applicant also respectfully submits that the objection to FIGS. 1-4 is overcome.

***B. The Claimed Invention is Patentable over Boden***

With respect to the rejection of Claims 1-20 as being anticipated by Boden, Applicant notes that Boden discloses a system and method for managing security objects, including a data model for abstracting customer-defined VPN security policy information. As disclosed, such a model enables a VPN node (computer system existing in a Virtual Private Network) to gather policy configuration information for itself through a GUI or some distributed policy source. The VPN node can then store the policy configuration information in a system-defined database, and use the information to dynamically negotiate, create, delete, and maintain secure connections at the IP level with other VPN nodes.

The present patent application is directed to systems and methods for providing a common layer for security services and cryptographic keys stored at a central location. As currently recited by amended independent Claim 1, for example, a method of creating and maintaining a centralized key store includes providing a plurality of security policies. Each of the security policies includes an application instance identifier associated with a security service, and at least two of the application instance identifiers are associated with different security services. As also recited, the method further includes creating one or more security associations based upon the security service(s) associated with the application instance identifier(s) to thereby create a centralized key store including the security policies and security association(s).

In contrast to amended independent Claim 1, Boden does not teach or suggest a centralized key store with security policies, each of which includes an application instance identifier associated with a security service, or one or more security associations created based upon security service(s) associated with the application instance identifier(s). In this regard, Boden does disclose abstracting information about connections in a VPN environment, such as between a system using TCP/IP and a system using IPSec. Boden also discloses dynamically generating security policies (IPSec filter rules), such as to accommodate dynamically-assigned IP addresses. In no event, however, does Boden disclose applying a security service other than IPSec such that the security policies include application instance identifiers associated with security services. The claimed invention, on the other hand, recites a centralized key store including a plurality of security policies each of which includes an application instance identifier

Appl. No.: 10/608,690  
Amdt. dated 7/18/06  
Reply to Official Action of January 31, 2006

associated with a respective security service, at least two of the application instance identifiers being associated with different security services.

Applicant therefore respectfully submits that amended independent Claim 1, and by dependency Claims 2-5, are patentably distinct from Boden. Applicant also respectfully submits that amended independent Claims 6, 11 and 16 recite subject matter similar to that of amended independent Claim 1, including the aforementioned centralized key store. Applicant therefore respectfully submits that amended independent Claims 6, 11 and 16, and by dependency Claims 7-10, 12-15 and 17-20, are also patentably distinct from Boden for at least the reasons given above with respect to amended independent Claim 1.

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the rejection of Claims 1-20 as being anticipated by Boden is overcome.

Appl. No.: 10/608,690  
Amdt. dated 7/18/06  
Reply to Official Action of January 31, 2006

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the amendments to the drawings and claims, and the remarks presented above, Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for allowance. As such, the issuance of a Notice of Allowance is therefore respectfully requested. In order to expedite the examination of the present application, the Examiner is encouraged to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney in order to resolve any remaining issues.

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 CFR § 1.136(a), and any fee required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) is hereby authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 16-0605.

Respectfully submitted,



Andrew T. Spence  
Registration No. 45,699

**Customer No. 00826**  
**ALSTON & BIRD LLP**  
Bank of America Plaza  
101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000  
Charlotte, NC 28280-4000  
Tel Charlotte Office (704) 444-1000  
Fax Charlotte Office (704) 444-1111

**ELECTRONICALLY FILED USING THE EFS-WEB ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE ON July 18, 2006.**

LEGAL02/30014318v1

Appl. No.: 10/608,690  
Amdt. dated 7/18/06  
Reply to Official Action of January 31, 2006

**APPENDIX**

1. Replacement Sheet (FIG. 4)